



# Modélisation numérique et caractérisation expérimentale des effets de la rugosité de surface sur la propagation acoustique

O. Faure<sup>a,b</sup>, B. Gauvreau<sup>a</sup>, F. Junker<sup>b</sup>, P. Lafon<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup>IFSTTAR (Nantes, France) - <sup>b</sup>EDF R&D (Clamart, France)

> Source : thèse O. Faure (2011-2014) Corresp. : benoit.gauvreau@ifsttar.fr

# Avant-propos Modèles de référence vs modèles d'ingénierie

#### > Modèles utilisés dans le domaine de l'ingénierie

- Rapidité de calcul >> grande échelle
- Type NMPB08, ISO 9613, Nord 2000, CNOSSOS, etc.
- Prise en compte partielle des phénomènes physiques
- Outils logiciels (IHM)
- Nouveaux <u>outils logiciels libres</u> : NoiseM@p/OrbisGis (IRSTV/ifsttar)



#### http://noisemap.orbisgis.org/



100 Distance (m) Prévision du bruit routie

#### > Modèles de référence ou de laboratoire

- Temps CPU/GPU important >> <u>petite échelle</u>
- Prise en compte quasi-exhaustive des phénomènes physiques
- Méthodes analytiques et numériques (PE, BEM, FDTD, TLM, etc.)
- Utilisation délicate (sensibilité aux paramètres), diffusion restreinte
- Couplage/chainage avec modèles météo/QA
- Validation (!) : comparaison mesures/calculs, benchmarks inter-modèles de propagation acoustique
- BdD numériques de référence pour validation des modèles de référence... et d'ingénierie !
- Thèse O. Faure : intégration des effets de la rugosité dans les modèles de référence... et d'ingénierie ?

## Introduction

• Context : modelling of outdoor sound propagation in an heterogeneous medium.

- Realistic cases include :
- time variability of the propagation medium properties
- geometric irregularities due to the complexity of the ground
- measurement uncertainties

• Modelling the effects of small geometry irregularities compared to wavelength (« roughness ») using an effective impedance :



# Introduction



• Boss model for a roughness formed by cylindrical scatterers<sup>1</sup>



 $\rightarrow$  Experimentally<sup>1</sup> and numerically validated<sup>2</sup> (and impemented in time-domain methods)

• Model for a random roughness ?

 $\rightarrow$  Objectives : experimental validation of an effective impedance model for random roughness with measurements in semi-anechoic chamber

[1] P. Boulanger, K. Attenborough, Q.Qin, "Effective impedance of surfaces with porous roughness: Models and data", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 117(3), 1146-1156 (2005).

[2] O. Faure, B. G., F. J., and P. L., Effective impedance models for rough surfaces in time-domain propagation methods, In Proceedings of Internoise 2013, 4 Innsbruck, Austria.

# I. MPP effective impedance model

## I.1 – Definition

• In electromagnetism, an effective impedance model for rough surfaces is obtained using the Small Perturbation Method (MPP), taking into account the **roughness spectrum** of the surface and its statistical properties<sup>3</sup>

• Transposed to acoustics for an absorbing rough surface :

$$1/Z_{eff} = \beta_{eff} = \beta_{S} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\kappa'}{k_0 k_z(\kappa')} \left(k_0^2 - \kappa\kappa'\right) W(\kappa - \kappa') \quad \text{with} \quad k_z(\kappa) = \sqrt{k_0^2 - \kappa^2}$$

• Models the mean effects of ground roughness on sound propagation

- Reformulation possible to get rid of the pole<sup>3</sup> for an easy numerical integration
- Used with the Weyl-Van der Pol formula for obtaining analytical solutions

# I. MPP effective impedance model

6

## I.2 – Roughness power spectrum

• For an area of a surface whose height profile  $\zeta$  is known :

$$W(k_x, k_y) = \left|\Im[\zeta(x, y)]\right|^2$$

• For a surface statistically defined by an autocorrelation function  $C_{\zeta}$ :  $W(k_x, k_y) = \iint e^{-i\vec{k}.\vec{x}} C_{\zeta}(x, y) dx dy$ 

• For a rough sea surface or a rough ground, the roughness power spectrum can be estimated by backscattering measurements<sup>4</sup>



[4] M.L.Oelze, J.M. Sabatier, R..Raspet, "Application of an acoustic backscatter technique for characterizing the roughness of porous soil", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 111(4), 1565-1577 (2002).

## II. Experimental surfaces

#### II.1 – Gaussian roughness spectrum

• A 1D gaussian roughness spectrum is defined by :

$$W(K) = \frac{\sigma_h^2 l_c}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{K^2 l_c^2}{4}}$$



• Experimental rough surfaces defined with a gaussian spectrum :

$$-\sigma_h = 0.05 \text{m}$$
  
-  $l_c = 0.2 \text{m}$ 

# II. Experimental surfaces

## II.2 – Rough surfaces

• 55m gaussian rough profile carved at scale 1/10 in two sets of polystirene boards (1 set = 9 boards,  $2m \ge 0.6m$ )

• The two sets of rough boards are coated with epoxy resin. One is left uncovered to make it reflective, the other one is covered with 1mm layer of felt to make it absorbing.



## II. Experimental surfaces

#### II.3 – Flat surfaces

• Flat reflective and absorbing surfaces are also considered



## III. Measurements

#### III.1 – Preliminary measurements

• The impedance of the flat surfaces is measured by a two-microphone technique, reproduced at scale 1/10.

• Miki model with thickness is considered.



## III. Measurements

#### III.2 – Devices

#### • Source : Clarion SRH292HX tweeter





• Microphone : ¼" B&K 4961 multi-fields



Sensibility : 60 mV/Pa Frenquency range : 5 Hz -20 kHz Dynamic : 20 -130 dB

- White noise emitted, impulse responses obtained using B&K PULSE LabShop
- Frequency range of interest at full scale 200Hz-2000Hz

## III. Measurements

## III.3 – Measurements configuration



- 6 source heights H<sub>s</sub> : 0.2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5m
- The microphone position is controled by an automatic system
- 5 microphone heights  $H_R$  : 1, 2, 3, 4, 5m
- For each source height : d=17, 18, ...54, 55m (all distances expressed at full scale)
- In total 1170 measurement points for each surfaces (reflecting and absorbing)





#### IV.1 – Reflective surfaces

• H<sub>s</sub>=2 m



## IV.1 – Reflective surfaces

• H<sub>s</sub>=2 m



#### IV.1 – Reflective surfaces





## IV.1 – Reflective surfaces

• H<sub>s</sub>=2 m



SPL relative to free field at 1m

## IV.2 – Absorbing surfaces

• Elevated source ( $H_S=2 m$ )



## IV.2 – Absorbing surfaces



## V. Results in time-domain

19





## V. Results in time-domain

## V.2 – Complementary numerical simulations (1/2)

• 2D TLM simulations<sup>5</sup> of a pulse propagation above a gaussian rough profile have been performed, in order to identify the roughness induced surface wave

- More pronounced roughness considered :  $\sigma_h=0.1$  m and  $l_c=0.2$  m
- Acoustically hard surface



[5] G. Guillaume and B. Gauvreau, Effect of input data in the impact studies of road traffic noise in a time-domaine model, In Proceedings of Internoise 2014, Melbourne, Australia

# Conclusions

• The SPM effective impedance model takes into account the mean effects of a random roughness (defined by a roughness spectrum)

• A measurements campaign above a rough surfaces was performed in semianechoic chamber in order to validate this model

• The effects of roughness on SPL are correctly modeled (even for one random realization of a rough profile)

• The deformation of the signal shape and the roughness induced surface wave are correctly taken into account

#### **Perspectives :**

 $\rightarrow$  Application to 3D cases and with mean flows

 $\rightarrow$  SPM model can be used in reference numerical models and engineering methods

 $\rightarrow$  Need for data on ground roughness

 $\rightarrow$  Propagation above sea (Elfouhaily sea spectrum)





## Merciiiiiiiiii

# En savoir + : mémoire de thèse O. Faure <u>https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01132517</u>

#### IV.1 – Reflective surfaces



#### IV.1 – Reflective surfaces



#### IV.2 – Absorbing surfaces



## IV.2 – Absorbing surfaces

• Low source ( $H_S=0.2 \text{ m}$ )

