

Auralisation de trafics routiers nonstationnaires en milieu urbain basée sur une synthèse granulaire temps réel

10 Octobre / JTAV 2012

Julien Maillard

Département Acoustique et Eclairage Centre Scientifique et Technique du Bâtiment (CSTB) Université Paris-Est



Outline

- ✓ Context
- ✓ Auralization framework
 - \checkmark Overview
 - ✓ Source signal synthesis
- ✓ Quantitative validation
 - ✓ Approach
 - ✓ Results
- \checkmark Audio samples
- ✓ Conclusions



Context Perceptive evaluation of noise pollution

- Analyse (engineers) or facilitate awareness (decision makers) of noise pollution
- ✓ Extend standard tools based on sound pressure levels only
- ✓ Make acoustic simulation results 'audible'
- ✓ Requirements
 - \checkmark Render a close version of the real sound field
 - \checkmark Provide user interaction for comparative listening tests
- ✓ Challenge
 - Satisfy both physical accuracy and user interaction with limited computational ressources



Auralization framework Overview

- ✓ Novel approach for the auralization of non-stationary road traffic noise
- ✓ 3 main tasks :
 - ✓ synthesis of source signals (engine and rolling noise for varying speed)
 - \checkmark modeling of sound propagation
 - \checkmark spatial audio rendering







Auralization framework Source signal synthesis

- ✓ Engine noise recording at varying rpm (vehicle at rest)
- ✓ Rolling noise recording at varying speed (CPX method)







Auralization framework Source signal synthesis (cont'd)

Sample Overlap-And-Add for real time synthesis

Engine noise: Synchronous synthesis (1 sample = 1 full engine cycle)



Rolling noise: Asynchronous synthesis





Auralization framework Source signal calibration

- ✓ Source signals represent equivalent source in free field at 1 m
- ✓ Constant calibration gain applied to extracted sound samples
- ✓ Based on the Harmonoise vehicle emission model according to

Rolling noise calibration gain

$$G_{R,cal} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \left(10^{\left(E_{R}(v_{i}) - L_{WR,A}(v_{i}) \right)/10} \right) P(v_{i}) \right)$$

Engine noise calibration gain

$$G_{P,cal} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \left(10^{\left(E_{P}(v_{i}) - L_{WP,A}(v_{i}) \right) / 10} \right) P(v_{i}) \right)$$

- Where $L_{WR,A}(v_i)$ reference rolling noise power in dB(A) at speed v_i
 - $L_{WP,A}(v_i)$ reference engine noise power in dB(A) at speed v_i
 - $P(v_i)$ propability of occurrence of speed v_i
 - $E_R(v_i)$ energy in dB(A) of synthesized rolling noise signal at speed v_i

$$E_P(v_i) = 10 \log_{10} \left(\sum_{g=2}^{5} (10^{E_P(v_i,g)/10}) P(g, v_i) \right)$$
 averaged energy in dB(A) of synthesized engine noise at speed v_i

- $E_P(v_i, g)$ energy in dB(A) of synthesized engine noise signal at speed v_i and gear g
- $P(g, v_i)$ probability of driving at gear g for speed v_i



Quantitative validation Approach

- ✓ Compare sound pressure levels of auralized and recorded sequences
- ✓ Single vehicles passing by the listening point at different speeds
- ✓ Straight road in open field
- ✓ 5 vehicles / 3 speeds
- ✓ Measure SPL + speed
- ✓ Binaural / Stereo recordings





Quantitative validation Approach (cont'd)

- ✓ Engine and rolling noise dataset from CPX recording on same road / vehicles
- Site modeling (approximate terrain data and ground propperties)
- ✓ Auralization
- ✓ Signal analysis (Leq, Lamax, …)
- ✓ Listening tests



Quantitative validation Results







Quantitative validation Results (cont'd)

BMW 320 - LAFeq vs Time





Quantitative validation Audio samples

BMW 320 - 76 km/h - 2280 rpm







Golf - 88 km/h - 1580 rpm





Quantitative validation Audio samples (cont'd)

Transporter - 37 km/h - 2340 rpm





Audio samples Real traffic in urban site

- ✓ Two lane road
- ✓ Two stop lights
- ✓ 800 vehicles per hour
- ✓ averaged speed = 47 km/h





Auralized vs recorded







Conclusion and perspectives

- \checkmark New approach for the auralization of road traffic noise
 - ✓ Real time auralization
 - ✓ Non-stationary traffic flows with varying vehicle speed

✓ First quantitative results for

- ✓ Single vehicles / constant speed / receiver close to road
- ✓ Good agreement between SPL of recorded and auralized sequences

✓ Current work:

- ✓ Perceptual analysis of auralized sequences
- ✓ Quantitative and perceptual validation extended to
 - ✓ non-stationary traffic conditions
 - ✓ more complex urban site



Acknowledgements

- ✓ This research has been undertaken in the frame of the European project number 234306 HOSANNA
- ✓ Müller-BBM participated in the measurement campaign and provided the pass-by SPL data and tire noise CPX recordings